

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,813	08/30/2001	Paul R. Klamer	3054-037	8440
22440 7	590 10/02/2002			
GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC 270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			FULLER, RODNEY EVAN	
ŅEW YORK,	NY 100160601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/942,813	KLAMER, PAUL R.			
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN ING DATE of this communication and	Rodney E Fuller	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/942,813

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. On page 1, 2nd paragraph, 4th line, the word "artifacts" is misspelled as "artefacts."

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 14-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 14-16 are method claims which depend from apparatus claim 11. It appears that claims 14-16 should possibly depend from claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heimbuch, et al. (US 5,448,314).

Heimbuch (US 5,448,314) discloses all the structure set forth in the claims.

Heimbuch (US 5,448,314) discloses "... an input (Fig. 4, ref.# 27) receiving digital data

Page 3

defining image frames; and an optical modulator (Fig. 4, ref.# 26) adapted to generate a

series of images corresponding to said digital data, said images being separated by black

intervals (Fig. 7b, "blanking period") selected to induce a stroboscopic effect in the eye

of the viewer." Furthermore, any digital projector system that utilizes an optical

modulator would read on the claims. It has been held that the recitation that an element is

"adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to

so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison,

69 USPQ 138.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

Petrunchik, et al. (US 6,386,711) discloses a digital projector that utilizes a spatial light

modulator where the light is selectively attenuated and then projected onto a screen.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rodney Fuller whose telephone number is (703) 306-5641. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Russ Adams, can be reached on (703) 308-2847.

Rodney Fuller

Primary Examiner

September 27, 2002